

# Role of Zinc Content on the Catalytic Efficiency of B1 Metallo $\beta$ -Lactamases

Matteo Dal Peraro,\*,† Alejandro J. Vila,§ Paolo Carloni,‡ and Michael L. Klein†

Contribution from the Center for Molecular Modeling and Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, International School for Advanced Studies, SISSA-ISAS, via Beirut 4, Trieste, Italy, and Molecular Biology Division, IBR, CONICET, and Biophysics Section, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Suipacha 531, S2002LRK Rosario, Argentina

Received August 8, 2006; E-mail: matteodp@cmm.upenn.edu

**Abstract:** Metallo  $\beta$ -lactamases (M $\beta$ L) are enzymes naturally evolved by bacterial strains under the evolutionary pressure of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic clinical use. They have a broad substrate spectrum and are resistant to all the clinically useful inhibitors, representing a potential risk of infection if massively disseminated. The M $\beta$ L scaffold is designed to accommodate one or two zinc ions able to activate a nucleophilic hydroxide for the hydrolysis of the  $\beta$ -lactam ring. The role of zinc content on the binding and reactive mechanism of action has been the subject of debate and still remains an open issue despite the large amount of data acquired. We report herein a study of the reaction pathway for binuclear CcrA from Bacteroides fragilis using density functional theory based quantum mechanics-molecular mechanics dynamical modeling. CcrA is the prototypical binuclear enzyme belonging to the B1 M $\beta$ L family, which includes several harmful chromosomally encoded and transferable enzymes. The involvement of a second zinc ion in the catalytic mechanism lowers the energetic barrier for  $\beta$ -lactam hydrolysis, preserving the essential binding features found in mononuclear B1 enzymes (BcII from Bacillus cereus) while providing a more efficient single-step mechanism. Overall, this study suggests that uptake of a second equivalent zinc ion is evolutionary favored.

### Introduction

The clinical use of  $\beta$ -lactams, the most widespread antibiotics on the market today, has exerted a large evolutionary pressure on bacteria, triggering several resistance mechanisms.<sup>1,2</sup> The most effective means to counteract the action of these antibiotics is the biosynthesis of  $\beta$ -lactamases, a group of hydrolases that uses different protein scaffolds and catalytic architectures to inactivate  $\beta$ -lactam drugs.<sup>1</sup>  $\beta$ -Lactamases have been grouped into four classes based on their sequence homology.<sup>3</sup> Classes A, C, and D enzymes feature a serine residue as nucleophilic agent in the reaction, whereas class B lactamases are characterized by the presence of one or two Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions essential for the function and feature larger substrate diversity. They are generically termed as metallo  $\beta$ -lactamases (M $\beta$ L), and, despite not being as ubiquitous as serine  $\beta$ -lactamases, they are increasingly spreading among pathogenic bacteria in the clinical settings and are resistant to all the clinically useful inhibitors.<sup>4–7</sup> Thus, there is an urgent need to understand their function at the molecular level.

§ CONICET and Universidad Nacional de Rosario.

(3) Hall, B. G.; Barlow, M. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2005, 55, 1050-1051.

- (7) Crowder, M. W.; Spencer, J.; Vila, A. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 721-728
- (8) Hall, B. G.; Barlow, M. J. Mol. Evol. 2003, 57, 255-260.
- (9) Orellano, E. G.; Giardini, J. E.; Cricco, J. A.; Ceccarelli, E. A.; Vila, A. J. *Biochemistry* 1998, *37*, 10173–10180.
  (10) Prosperi-Meys, C.; Wouters, J.; Galleni, M.; Lamotte-Brasseur, J. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2001, *58*, 2136–2143.
- (11) Bounaga, S.; Laws, A. P.; Galleni, M.; Page, M. I. Biochem. J. 1998, 331, 703-711. (12) Rasia, R. M.; Ceolin, M.; Vila, A. J. Protein Sci. 2003, 12, 1538-1546.
- (13) Rasia, R. M.; Vila, A. J. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 26046–26051.
  (14) Rasia, R. M.; Vila, A. J. Biochemistry 2002, 41, 1853–1860.
- (15) Yanchak, M. P.; Taylor, R. A.; Crowder, M. W. Biochemistry 2000, 39,
- 11330-11339 (16) Wang, Z. G.; Fast, W.; Benkovic, S. J. Biochemistry 1999, 38, 10013-10023
- (17) Wang, Z. G.; Fast, W.; Benkovic, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10788-10789.
- (18) Garcia-Saez, I.; Hopkins, J.; Papamicael, C.; Franceschini, N.; Amicosante, G.; Rossolini, G. M.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M.; Dideberg, O. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 23868-23873.
- (19) Rossolini, G. M.; Franceschini, N.; Riccio, M. L.; Mercuri, P. S.; Perilli, M.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M.; Amicosante, G. Biochem. J. 1998, 332, 145 152

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of Pennsylvania.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> International School for Advanced Studies.

<sup>(1)</sup> Fisher, J. F.; Meroueh, S. O.; Mobashery, S. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 395-424. Wilke, M. S.; Lovering, A. L.; Strynadka, N. C. J. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2005, 8, 525-533.

 $M\beta L$  are classified by sequence homology into three subclasses: B1, B2, and B3.5,8 The B1 subclass includes several chromosomally encoded enzymes, such as the ones from Bacillus cereus (BcII),<sup>9-14</sup> Bacteroides fragilis (CcrA),<sup>15-17</sup> Elizabethkingia meningoseptica (BlaB),18,19 as well as the transferable VIM, IMP, SPM and GIM-type enzymes.<sup>20-26</sup>

<sup>(4)</sup> Galleni, M.; Lamotte-Brasseur, J.; Rossolini, G. M.; Spencer, J.; Dideberg, O.; Frere, J. M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2001, 45, 660-663.

<sup>(5)</sup> Garau, G.; Di Guilmi, A. M.; Hall, B. G. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **2005**, 49, 2778–2784.

 <sup>(6)</sup> Walsh, T. R.; Toleman, M. A.; Poirel, L.; Nordmann, P. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2005, 18, 306–325.

Subclass B2 includes the CphA and imiS lactamases from Aeromonas species.<sup>27–29</sup> Subclass B3, along with the extensively characterized enzyme L1 from Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, 30-33 includes enzymes from environmental bacteria, such as CAU-1 from Caulobacter crescentus<sup>34</sup> and THIN-B from Janthinobacterium lividum,<sup>35</sup> and from opportunistic pathogens, such as FEZ-1 from Legionella gormanii36-38 and GOB from E. meningoseptica.<sup>39</sup>

Atomistic structures of M $\beta$ Ls spanning all the three subclasses have been solved by X-ray crystallography (B1: BcII,<sup>40,41</sup> BlaB,18 CcrA,42 IMP-1,43 SPM-1,44 (B1); B2: CphA;27 and B3: L1<sup>31</sup> and FEZ-1<sup>37</sup>). The folding frame is characterized in all cases by a compact  $\alpha\beta/\beta\alpha$  sandwich (two core  $\beta$ -sheets surrounded by  $\alpha$ -helices), with an active site that can allocate one or two Zn<sup>2+</sup> metal ions.<sup>4,5</sup> This motif tolerates insertions and deletions in the sequence resulting in strikingly different loop topologies and Zn<sup>2+</sup> contents. At the active pocket, Zn1 in the first metal site is tetrahedrally coordinated by three histidine ligands (His116, His118, and His196) and a nucleo-

- (20) Docquier, J. D.; Lamotte-Brasseur, J.; Galleni, M.; Amicosante, G.; Frere, J. M.; Rossolini, G. M. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **2003**, *51*, 257–266. (21) Oelschlaeger, P.; Mayo, S. L.; Pleiss, J. Protein Sci. **2005**, *14*, 765–774.
- (22) Yamaguchi, Y.; Kuroki, T.; Yasuzawa, H.; Higashi, T.; Jin, W. C.; Kawanami, A.; Yamagata, Y.; Arakawa, Y.; Goto, M.; Kurosaki, H. J. Biol. Chem. 2005, 280, 20824–20832.
- (23) Materon, I. C.; Beharry, Z.; Huang, W. Z.; Perez, C.; Palzkill, T. J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 344, 653-663.
- (24) Oelschlaeger, P.; Schmid, R. D.; Pleiss, J. Protein Eng. 2003, 16, 341-350
- (25) Moali, C.; Anne, C.; Lamotte-Brasseur, J.; Groslambert, S.; Devreese, B.; Van Beeumen, J.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M. Chem. Biol. 2003, 10, 319-
- (26) Toleman, M. A.; Simm, A. M.; Murphy, T. A.; Gales, A. C.; Biedenbach, D. J.; Jones, R. N.; Walsh, T. R. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2002, 50, 673 679
- (27) Garau, G.; Bebrone, C.; Anne, C.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M.; Dideberg, O. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2005, *345*, 785–795.
  (28) Valladares, M. H.; Felici, A.; Weber, G.; Adolph, H. W.; Zeppezauer, M.;
- Rossolini, G. M.; Amicosante, G.; Frere, J. M.; Galleni, M. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 11534-11541.
- (29) Crawford, P. A.; Yang, K. W.; Sharma, N.; Bennett, B.; Crowder, M. W. Biochemistry 2005, 44, 5168–5176. (30) Walsh, T. R.; Hall, L.; Assinder, S. J.; Nichols, W. W.; Cartwright, S. J.;
- Macgowan, A. P.; Bennett, P. M. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1994, 1218, 199-
- (31) Ullah, J. H.; Walsh, T. R.; Taylor, I. A.; Emery, D. C.; Verma, C. S.; Gamblin, S. J.; Spencer, J. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1998**, 284, 125–136.
  (32) Spencer, J.; Clarke, A. R.; Walsh, T. R. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, 276, 33638–
- 33644.
- (33) Garrity, J. D.; Carenbauer, A. L.; Herron, L. R.; Crowder, M. W. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 920-927.
- (34) Docquier, J. D.; Pantanella, F.; Giuliani, F.; Thaller, M. C.; Amicosante, G.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M.; Bush, K.; Rossolini, G. M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2002, 46, 1823–1830.
- (35) Docquier, J. D.; Lopizzo, T.; Liberatori, S.; Prenna, M.; Thaller, M. C.; Frere, J. M.; Rossolini, G. M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 4778-4783
- (36) Mercuri, P. S.; Bouillenne, F.; Boschi, L.; Lamotte-Brasseur, J.; Amicosante, G.; Devreese, B.; van Beeumen, J.; Frere, J. M.; Rossolini, G. M.; Galleni, M. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **2001**, 45, 1254–1262. Garcia-Saez, I.; Mercuri, P. S.; Papamicael, C.; Kahn, R.; Frere, J. M.; Galleni, M.; Rossolini, G. M.; Dideberg, O. J. Mol. Biol. **2003**, 325, 651–
- (37)
- (38) Mercuri, P. S.; Garcia-Saez, I.; De Vriendt, K.; Thamm, I.; Devreese, B.; (36) McFelli, F. D., Bellardsacz, E., De Vinlet, K., Hanni, F., Devreese, D., van Beeumen, J.; Dideberg, O.; Rossolini, G. M.; Frere, J. M.; Galleni, M. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 33630–33638.
   (39) Bellais, S.; Aubert, D.; Naas, T.; Nordmann, P. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000, 44, 1878–1886.
- (40) Davies, A. M.; Rasia, R. M.; Vila, A. J.; Sutton, B. J.; Fabiane, S. M. Biochemistry 2005, 44, 4841–4849.
- (41) Fabiane, S. M.; Sohi, M. K.; Wan, T.; Payne, D. J.; Bateson, J. H.; Mitchell, T.: Sutton, B. J. Biochemistry 1998, 37, 12404–12411.
- (42) Concha, N. O.; Rasmussen, B. A.; Bush, K.; Herzberg, O. Structure 1996, 4, 823-836.
- (43) Concha, N. O.; Janson, C. A.; Rowling, P.; Pearson, S.; Cheever, C. A.; (43) Colicia, N. O., Jaison, C. A., Rownig, F., Featson, S., Clerke, T. A., Clarke, B. P.; Lewis, C.; Galleni, M.; Frere, J. M.; Payne, D. J.; Bateson, J. H.; Abdel-Meguid, S. S. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 4288–4298.
   (44) Murphy, T. A.; Catto, L. E.; Halford, S. E.; Hadfield, A. T.; Minor, W.; Walsh, T. R.; Spencer, J. J. Mol. Biol. **2006**, *357*, 890–903.

philic hydroxide<sup>45-50</sup> in B1 and B3 enzymes. The coordination polyhedron of Zn2 in the B1 enzymes is provided by the nucleophile, one water molecule, and the Asp120-Cys221-His263 triad. A similar site (with a tetrahedral geometry) is also found in mononuclear B2 enzymes as the active species. Instead, in B3 M $\beta$ L, two mutations (Cys221-Ser and Arg121-His) affect the Zn2 coordination geometry, which is bound to Asp120, His121, His263, and one or two water molecules.

Due to the M $\beta$ L structural diversity, the role of Zn<sup>2+</sup> content for the enzymatic function is not fully understood, despite the large amount of experimental and theoretical works on the subject. A recent, very comprehensive review<sup>51</sup> regarding existing computational proposals on M $\beta$ L underlines the necessity of further theoretical investigations to uncover the catalytic mechanism of M $\beta$ L, in particular of the influence of a second zinc ion in dizinc species. Here, we further address this issue by performing a modeling study on a prototypical B1 dizinc M $\beta$ L, CcrA, one of the first M $\beta$ L for which the structure has been determined by X-ray crystallography.42 Quantum mechanics-molecular mechanics (QM-MM) reaction modeling of dizinc  $\beta$ -lactamase CcrA from *Bacteroides fragilis* in complex with an antibiotic in clinical use (the cephalosporin cefotaxime) is complemented by a molecular dynamics investigation of the binding mode for a series of common  $\beta$ -lactams. Comparison is then made with our previous studies on a monozinc B1 M $\beta$ L, BcII from *B. cereus*,<sup>47,48</sup> and previous proposals on M $\beta$ Ls.<sup>51</sup> Our calculations add important pieces of information to those obtained by previous calculations.<sup>51</sup> Specifically, they suggest that the insertion of a second  $Zn^{2+}$  ion at the active pocket elicits an elegant, efficient, and highly concerted water-aided singlestep enzymatic mechanism. The monozinc B1 enzyme follows instead a two-step path, with higher activation free energy, according to consistent computations,48 compared to those reported here. Thus, the evolutionary pressure favored, through local mutations, the uptake of a second zinc equivalent at the active site on certain bacterial strains to increase their catalytic efficiency.

#### **Methods and Materials**

CcrA-Substrate Adducts. Benzylpenicillin (BPC, a penicillin), cefotaxime (CEF, a cephalosporin), and imipenem (IMI, a carbapenem), three common antibiotics from different  $\beta$ -lactam families, are docked at the active site of the dizinc B1 M/JL CcrA from B. fragilis (complexes CcrA-BPC, CcrA-CEF, and CcrA-IMI, respectively). The X-ray structure of the latter has been solved at 1.85 Å resolution (PDB entry 1znb<sup>42</sup>). The structural information about inhibitors solved at the M $\beta$ L active sites along with previous docking studies<sup>47</sup> of the same substrates on the B1 monozinc M $\beta$ L BcII from *B. cereus* are used as guidance for the manual docking of  $\beta$ -lactams at the metal site, further optimized trough MD simulations. The protonation states of the active site

- (45) Dal Peraro, M.; Vila, A. J.; Carloni, P. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 7, 704-(46) Dal Peraro, M.; Vila, A. J.; Carloni, P. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 4245-
- 4247. (47) Dal Peraro, M.; Vila, A. J.; Carloni, P. Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.
- 2004. 54, 412-423. (48) Dal Peraro, M.; Llarrull, L. I.; Rothlisberger, U.; Vila, A. J.; Carloni, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12661–12668.
  (49) Diaz, N.; Suarez, D.; Merz, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9867–
- 9879
- (50) Diaz, N.; Suarez, D.; Merz, K. M., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4197-4208
- (51) Estiu, G.; Suarez, D.; Merz, K. M. J. Comput. Chem. 2006, 27, 1240-1262.



*Figure 1.* (Left)  $\beta$ -Lactam hydrolysis catalyzed by M $\beta$ L is sketched in the inset. Also shown are substrates used in this work. (Right) Binding mode of CcrA from *B. fragilis*. Secondary structures are represented in orange cartoons; purple spheres indicate the Zn<sup>2+</sup> cofactors present at the active site, whereas coordinated metal ligands are depicted in stick representation and the  $\beta$ -lactam in space-filled balls.

groups have been determined by DFT calculations.<sup>46</sup> As for the rest, Glu and Asp are assumed ionized while the histidines are protonated according to their putative H-bond patterns in the 1znb structure (His78 at N $\delta$ , His105 at N $\delta$ , His172 at N $\epsilon$ , and His286 at N $\epsilon$ ).

The three adducts, CcrA-BPC, CcrA-CEF, and CcrA-IMI (which are immersed in a water edge 74.69.77 Å3 neutralized by 4 to 5 Na<sup>+</sup> counterions, with a total of 38 000 atoms), undergo MD simulations. The AMBER force field (parm9452) is used for the protein and the counterions. The TIP3P model is used for water.53 The force field parameters for the substrates are taken from previous works;47,49,54 point charges for the Zn2+-ligand center are calculated using the RESP approach, and bond and bend force constants are adapted from previous works and have shown to consistently describe experimental distances and coordination geometry of a variety of zinc enzymes47,49,54 (see Supporting Information, SI). A time step set of 1.5 fs is used. All bonds involving hydrogen atoms are constrained with the SHAKE algorithm following the protocol reported in previous works.<sup>47</sup> Simulations are performed at constant temperature and pressure by coupling the systems to a Berendsen bath at 298 K and at 1 atm. Periodic boundary conditions are applied to the system. The PME method is used to evaluate longrange electrostatic interactions, and a cutoff of 12 Å is used to account for the van der Waals interactions and the short range, real part contribution to the electrostatics. A 4-ns MD trajectory is performed for each complex. Root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) time series (see SI) suggest that the systems are well equilibrated within the first nanosecond (see SI).

**CcrA**–**CEF Enzymatic Reaction.** The reaction path of the CcrA– CEF adduct is investigated by first principles MD in a fully Hamiltonian QM–MM scheme, which combines Car–Parrinello DFT MD and classical MD. The QM–MM interface takes into account the electrostatic and mechanical coupling of the entire protein frame and solvation bath with the QM cell. This scheme has been shown to describe accurately a variety of enzymatic systems, including the monozinc BcII metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase<sup>48</sup> and other zinc-dependent enzymes.<sup>45</sup> First principles calculations for the QM are used here. This contrasts to several other studies of M $\beta$ L, which use semiempirical and SCC-DFTB methods. Although first principles calculations access a shorter time scale (in this work  $\sim$ 150 ps), they offer the advantage of being transferable. Within the Car–Parrinello scheme, in addition, statistical mechanics approaches to simulate rare events may be used to investigate reactions in biological systems.<sup>55,56</sup>

In the present QM-MM approach, the reactive portion of the complex, namely the zinc first ligand shell along with the CEF bicyclic portion (Figure 2), is treated at the quantum level (DFT-BLYP<sup>57,58</sup>) and the remaining part at the classical level (AMBER force field<sup>52</sup>). The valence electrons are described by a plane wave basis set up to a cutoff of 70 Ry. Plane waves are not affected by basis set superposition errors, which might otherwise influence the quality of the simulation. The interactions between valence electrons and ionic cores are described with norm-conserving Martins-Troullier pseudopotentials. The BLYP functional, along with the plane waves basis set, has been used for a variety of reactions in aqueous solution and in enzymes. Notice that the use of the popular B3LYP functional along with plane wave-based calculations is computationally and prohibitively expensive and thus is not used here. Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics59 simulations are carried out with a time step of 0.14 fs (total of  $\sim$ 70 ps for each reaction path, with initial equilibration of reactants of 20 and 5 ps for each step of constrained MD48,55) and a fictitious electron mass of 500 au; constant temperature simulations are achieved by coupling the system with a Nose-Hoover thermostat at 500 cm<sup>-1</sup> frequency. The nonbonded interactions between the MM and QM regions are treated as in ref 60. The free energy of activation for the hydrolytic mechanism is evaluated with the method of thermodynamics integration along the putative reaction coordinate (RC), namely  $d_{\rm RC} = O(OH^{-}) - C8(\beta$ -lactam ring) (following the  $\beta$ -lactam standard numbering scheme), using the same protocol used for monozinc BcII reaction.48 The free energy of activation can be related to  $k_{cat}$  through transition state theory for comparison with the experiment in case the system is in saturation conditions. Estimation of  $\Delta F$  provides an approximate value of the catalytic rate due to problems related with DFT accuracy and sampling and because of the inherent limitations of rate constant definition. Two reaction paths are taken into account differing by the hydrogen bond between Asn233 and the substrate (C8 carbonyl oxygen) as different configurations produced by the MD simulations.

- (56) Iannuzzi, M.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 90, 238302.
- (57) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1998, 38, 3098-3100.
- (58) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- (59) Car, R.; Parrinello, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 55, 2471–2474.
   (60) Laio, A.; VandeVondele, J.; Rothlisberger, U. J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 6947.

<sup>(52)</sup> Cornell, W.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C.; Gould, I.; Merz, K.; Ferguson, D.; Spellmeyer, D.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J.; Kollman, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5179–5197.

<sup>(53)</sup> Joergensen, W.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J.; Impey, R.; Klein, M. J. Chem. Phys. **1983**, 79, 926–935.
(54) Suarez, D.; Diaz, N.; Merz, K. M. J. Comput. Chem. **2002**, 23, 1587–

<sup>(54)</sup> Suarez, D.; Diaz, N.; Merz, K. M. J. Comput. Chem. 2002, 23, 1587-1600.

<sup>(55)</sup> Sprik, M.; Ciccotti, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 7737-7744.





B. Monozinc BcII from Bacillus cereus



<sup>a</sup> The reactant state (R) corresponds to the ES complex, and the product state (P) corresponds to the EP complex.

Gromacs version  $3.2^{61}$  is used as the engine for the classical MD simulations, CPMD version  $3.7^{62}$  is used for the investigation of the reaction path, and VMD software<sup>63</sup> is used for analysis and rendering.

## **Results and Discussion**

Here, we discuss the findings on dizinc CcrA in complex with bicyclic  $\beta$ -lactams from three different types (BPC, CEF, and IMI). First, we provide general features observed for all the MD complexes, then focus on cefotaxime, for which the reaction mechanism is described by QM–MM simulations. Next, we compare the features of the Michaelis CcrA–CEF complex with those of CcrA–BPC and CcrA–IMI. Finally, the modularity exploited in binding and reactive mechanism by B1 M $\beta$ Ls upon the second metal uptake is discussed by comparing CcrA to mononuclear BcII enzyme (Scheme 1).

Binding and Reactivity of Dizinc M $\beta$ L. (1) General Features of CcrA-Substrate Adducts. The overall M $\beta$ L fold is maintained along the entire simulated time for all three

adducts (4 ns, Figure 1 and SI). The rmsd with respect to the X-ray structure fluctuates around very low values (0.9(2), 1.0-(3), and 1.0(2) Å for the backbone, SI). In fact, rms fluctuations are similar and slightly smaller than those derived from X-ray B-factors (see SI), possibly because of the lower mobility of the large loops involved in  $\beta$ -lactam binding (Figure 1), as also found for  $\beta$ -lactam binding to BcII. The  $\beta$ -lactam substrates at the active cleft are very stable as well (average rmsd: 2.0(3) Å).

(2) CcrA-CEF: Binding and Reactivity. CEF binding dehydrates the active site almost completely: only one water molecule is found in close proximity of the metal ions (Figures 2 and 4). This molecule (WAT in Figure 2) is interacting with Zn2 (d = 3.4(4) Å, Figure 3), H-bonding in addition to the CEF carboxylate group (C4 substituent in cephalosporins, Figure 2) and to the C3 substituent. Although not strongly bonding to Zn2 as in the X-ray structure (2.3 Å), WAT is able to maintain a trigonal bipyramidal geometry (common of pentacoordinated rather than tetrahedral geometry for the Zn2 sphere). The C4 substituent, which is present in all bicyclic  $\beta$ -lactams, also H-bonds to backbone N(Asn233) and forms a water-mediated salt bridge with Lys224. The  $\beta$ -lactam carbonyl (O–C8 in

<sup>(61)</sup> Lindahl, E.; Hess, B.; van der Spoel, D. J. Mol. Model. 2001, 7, 306-317.

<sup>(62)</sup> Hutter, J.; Alavi, A.; Deutsch, T.; Ballone, P.; Bernasconi, M.; Focher, P.; Goedecker, S. *CPMD*, version 3.7; Max Planck Institut fuer Festkoerperforschung, IBM Research: Stuttgart, 1995–1999.

<sup>(63)</sup> Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. J. Mol. Graphics 1996, 14, 33-38.



*Figure 2.* Shown are selected snapshots from the QM-MM investigation of cefotaxime hydrolysis (see Scheme 1A). In R, the reactant state, the quantum atoms are represented in sticks, distance labels refer to Figure 3, and the scaffold is pictured as orange cartoons in the background. Two pathways are reported as in the text: Path I:  $R \rightarrow INT$  (in blue) indicates the unfavorable higher free energy path where Asn233 H-bonds to C8 carbonyl; and Path II:  $R \rightarrow TS \rightarrow P$  (in red), which gives the favorable mechanism when Asn233 does not H-bond to C8 carbonyl (Figure 3, top).

Figure 1) mainly H-bonds to N $\epsilon$ (Asn233) (Figure 2 and SI). These minimal binding features are present in all  $\beta$ -lactams (basically present in the BcII–CEF adduct, see below and ref 47) and are supposed to play a major role in substrate binding. On the other hand, the C3 substituent interacts with Lys224 in loop Cys221-Thr240, which includes several conserved residues among B1 M $\beta$ Ls,<sup>4</sup> and C7 substituent binds to Glu62 in loop Ile61-Val67 (see SI). This is by far the most flexible region of the protein and undergoes major conformational changes upon substrate binding.

The  $\beta$ -lactam C8 carbonyl oxygen strongly H-bonds to Asn233 along this reaction pathway (d9 in Figure 3), whereas it does not bind Zn1 due to the steric orientation of CEF at the catalytic pocket (Zn1 keeps a tetrahedral geometry in R). Furthermore, cefotaxime is found to H-bond Asn233 for about 90% of the time during the MD trajectory. This interaction is not predicted to be essential in cefotaxime hydrolysis by monozinc BcII,47,48 and mutagenesis studies indicated that Asn233 is not essential for catalysis in CcrA.<sup>15</sup> Asn233 fluctuations randomly occur along the MD trajectory when its side chain flips out of the active site, losing the H-bond with the  $\beta$ -lactam, eventually replaced by water molecules coming from the bulk solvent (R in Figure 3). The time scale of such an event is estimated to be few tenths of a nanosecond and appears to be well sampled within  $\sim$ 4-ns trajectories. However, it is very unlikely to occur spontaneously during a first principles QM-MM dynamics (~70-ps time scale). On the basis of these observations, we determined that this is the only large conformational change not accessible within the QM-MM time scale and that two hydrolytic pathways are explored with the same QM-MM protocol: Path I, where the  $\beta$ -lactam carbonyl does H-bond directly to Asn233, and Path II, in which Asn233 is

flipped out, solvated by bulk water molecules (Figure 3). Initial QM-MM equilibrations ( $\sim 20$  ps) are performed to check the stability of starting MD configurations for Paths I and II. Although, as already stated, this conformation is present for about 10% of the MD simulated time, it points to a noncrucial role of Asn233 for the function, which in turn is consistent with an increase of K<sub>M</sub> in the N233A, N233L, and N233E,<sup>15,64</sup> without largely affecting  $k_{cat}/K_{M}$ . In this respect, it is worth noting that so far Asn233-Tyr is the only mutation that significantly improves the catalytic power in another M $\beta$ L (BcII) (unpublished results), and it is the only alternative residue found in M $\beta$ L at position 233 (namely, in B1 M $\beta$ L blaB, from *E. meningoseptica*<sup>39</sup>) that can perform a similar role. Moreover, a Tyr residue is found in glyoxalase II (a dizinc enzyme belonging to the metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase superfamily<sup>65</sup>) at the same topological position and might have a similar binding function.

The putative reaction coordinate  $d_{\rm RC}$ , namely the distance between the nucleophilic oxygen O(OH<sup>-</sup>) and  $\beta$ -lactam carbonyl carbon C8 (Figure 2), is very short during MD simulations (3.4-(2) Å), suggesting that this model provides a good picture of the Michaelis complex (R, Figure 3). The catalytic pathway of CEF hydrolysis is studied using hybrid QM–MM simulations, starting from an average  $d_{\rm RC}$  MD snapshot equilibrated by ~20 ps QM–MM dynamics on the reactant state, R. The free simulation suggests that the coordination sphere of the metal ion is preserved as in the X-ray structure (Figure 3, Scheme 1A), and  $d_{\rm RC}$  is similar to the MD value ( $d_{\rm RC} = 3.3(3)$  Å).  $d_{\rm RC}$ 

<sup>(64)</sup> Haruta, S.; Yamamoto, E. T.; Eriguchi, Y.; Sawai, T. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2001, 197, 85–89.

<sup>(65)</sup> Cameron, A. D.; Ridderstrom, M.; Olin, B.; Mannervik, B. Structure 1999, 7, 1067–1078.



*Figure 3.* Free energy profiles (top) and relevant average distances (bottom) along the two cefotaxime hydrolysis pathways in which the O(C8)–Asn233 H-bond is either present (Path I) or not (Path II). Bond distance labels as in Figures 1 and 2.

is then adiabatically shortened to force the hydrolysis of the  $\beta$ -lactam CEF (products state, P).

In Path I, the nucleophile  $OH^-$  approaches the  $\beta$ -lactam C8 maintaining its coordination with the metal ions. The whole adduct is not relevantly affected by any other structural changes until  $d_{\rm RC} = 2.0$  Å. At  $d_{\rm RC} = 1.8$  Å, the Zn2–OH<sup>-</sup> bond weakens (d2 = 2.6 Å, Figure 3), while the Zn1–Zn2 distance increases simultaneously (d3 = 4.0 Å). The metal-ligand bond lengths do not experience significant structural modifications, but the WAT molecule definitively detaches from Zn2, being scattered away from the active site (d4 = 4.4 Å). At the same time, Zn2 weakly binds to N5 (d5 = 2.3 Å), consequently lengthening the C8–N5 bond in CEF (d7 = 1.6 Å). At  $d_{RC} = 1.6$  Å, the force on the constrained O(OH<sup>-</sup>)-C8 distance is negative, indicating that the transition state has been reached and can be located reconstructing the free energy profile at  $d_{\rm RC} \approx 1.7$  Å. When the constraint on the O(OH<sup>-</sup>)-C8 distance is released, the system falls freely on a stable intermediate state (INT, Figure 3), where the C8–N5 bond is broken (d7 = 3.1 Å), and the development of partial negative charge on N5 is stabilized by a stronger N5–Zn2 bond (d5 = 1.6 Å). The C4 carboxylate group from the substrate becomes a Zn2 ligand at this point. Reorganization of the Zn2 coordination sphere induces detachment of WAT from the site. C8 fully acquires sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization, and its newly formed carboxyl moiety binds Zn1 in a bidentate manner. This is accompanied by the protonation of Asp120, likely promoted to stabilize the Zn1 coordination sphere.

The formation of INT requires a large activation free energy  $(\Delta F = 30(3) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ , which is clearly inconsistent with the fact that cefotaxime is readily hydrolyzed by CcrA with an estimated free energy of  $\sim 17$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the presence of an intermediate is not consistent with the fact that the process is supposed to be a single-step process, as proposed by experimental evidence on similar adducts.<sup>13</sup> Finally, in INT the strong N5-Zn2 and O(C8)-Zn1 bonds seem to prevent, due to steric hindrance, the approach of a water molecule from the bulk solvent. This is required to protonate the  $\beta$ -lactam N5 and to release the products. A possible proton donor candidate in INT conformation can be the protonated Asp120, as previously proposed, although this would require a significant rearrangement of the active site. For cefotaxime hydrolysis, however, this path is already largely energetically unfavorable, but for other substrates it cannot be definitively excluded.

Interestingly, this state closely resembles the crystal structure of L1 M $\beta$ L from the B3 subclass recently solved in complex with hydrolyzed moxalactam.<sup>66</sup> 3'-Elimination of the substrate bypasses N5 protonation, producing a stable intermediate by product inhibition. In this conformation, as well as in the INT state, N5 and the  $\beta$ -lactam carboxylate are bound to Zn2, and the hydrolyzed C8 moiety is bound to Zn1. The only difference with the X-ray structure is the presence of a hydroxide-water bridging the  $Zn^{2+}$  ions. This moiety can eventually occupy this position, giving a longer sampling time in the QM-MM simulation. It is worth noticing that the QM cell including only the CEF bicyclic core does not allow a possible 3'-elimination of C3 acetate as in the L1 case, which may make N5 protonation unnecessary. Since many cephalosporins equally do not possess a good 3' leaving group, this might suggest that their presence could favor the formation of stable INT-like intermediate state. Moreover, PM3-MD computational structures reported for the intermediate of hydrolysis of nitrocefin<sup>67</sup> have similar features in comparison with INT: the N5 atom is bound to Zn2, and the hydrolyzed C8 carboxylate is bound to Zn1. However, the C4 carboxylate is not interacting with Zn2. Therefore, the INT species is the outcome of a poorly efficient first step of cefotaxime hydrolysis, but on the basis of this independent evidence, it further indicates the feasibility of such an intermediate state in M $\beta$ L-mediated hydrolysis of other substrates.

In Path II, the reaction proceeds similarly to Path I until  $d_{\rm RC} = 2.2$  Å (the only noticeable events up to that stage are the increase of the C8–N5 bond (d7 = 1.4 Å, Figure 3, Scheme 1A), and the stronger bond between Zn2 and WAT, d5 = 2.5Å). At  $d_{\rm RC} = 2.0$  Å, the force on RC nullifies, indicating formation of the TS through a cascade of almost simultaneous events (Figure 3): (Clearly, the statistical errors of the method and the metastable nature of this configuration can lead to an approximate structural estimation of TS.) (i) OH<sup>-</sup> moves out from the Zn1-Zn2 plane attacking C8 in a apical position with respect to Zn1, while the Zn1-OH- bond is being weakened (d1 = 2.2 Å). (ii) The OH<sup>--</sup>Zn2 bond is lost upon nucleophilic attack, and the Zn1-Zn2 distance increases to 3.8 Å. A hydroxide simultaneously bound to Zn1 and Zn2 is in fact expected to be a poor nucleophile, and thus it is reasonable that OH<sup>-</sup> attacks while detaching from at least one Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion. As a

<sup>(66)</sup> Spencer, J.; Read, J.; Sessions, R. B.; Howell, S.; Blackburn, G. M.; Gamblin, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14439–14444.

<sup>(67)</sup> Park, H.; Brothers, E. N.; Merz, K. M., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4232–4241.

consequence, the Zn2 coordination number changes from 5 to 4. (iii) The WAT ligand, previously weakly bonded at the apical position of the Zn2 bipyramidal polyhedron, moves toward Zn1 to give rise to a tetrahedral geometry. The WAT-Zn2 distance (d5) gradually decreases, thus lowering the WAT  $pK_a$ . (iv) The Zn2-bound WAT comes closer to the  $\beta$ -lactam ring, consequently increasing the C8-N5 distance. The partial negative charge on N5 together with the enhanced nucleophilicity of WAT produces a proton shuttle from WAT to the N5 atom that finally triggers the C8–N5 bond cleavage (d7 = 2.6 Å). (v) Deprotonated water (Figure 3, WAT  $\rightarrow$  OH<sup>-</sup>') binds Zn1, completing the tetrahedral coordination sphere of Zn2 and perfectly replacing the position of the OH<sup>-</sup> nucleophile in R state. Zn1 at this point switches to a pentacoordinated bipyramidal coordination from the initial tetrahedral one. (vi) Upon nucleophilic attack and C8-N5 bond breaking, C8 acquires an sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization. (vii) The O(C8)-Asn233 H-bond is formed again: the partially hydrolyzed substrate gets farther from the metal center upon TS formation (mainly due to OH<sup>-</sup> switching on Zn1 apical position), being able to H-bond to Asn233.

When the constraint of RC is released, the system evolves onto the products state (P, Figure 3), where OH-' reorients H-bonding to Asp120 and bridging the Zn1 and Zn2 ions as in the R state. The CEF substrate is completely hydrolyzed, the  $\beta$ -lactam ring is open, C8 acquires planar sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization, and it is finally detached from the metal center, losing the OH<sup>--</sup> Zn1 bond. The O(C8)–Asn233 H-bond is stronger than that in TS, indicating that the flexibility and electrostatic properties of this residue play a role for binding as well as for the product release of degraded cephalosporins. As soon as the product is going to be expelled from the active site, water molecules start to solvate the metal site, first interacting with Zn1 (meanwhile returned to a tetrahedral geometry) and eventually coordinating Zn2 as in the unbound structure.<sup>42</sup> The calculated estimated  $\Delta F$ = 18(2) kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is consistent with (i) experimental evidence that suggests a single-step, efficient reaction for cephalosporin hydrolysis<sup>68</sup> with (ii) a free energy of activation of about 17 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for similar reactions,<sup>49</sup> and with (iii) the fact that the uncatalyzed reaction in water solution has an estimated barrier of 48(3) kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.48

This also suggests that Asn233 has been selected by evolution as the best compromise for its involvement in tuning the binding, catalysis, and product release processes. Asn233 is in fact able to interact with the  $\beta$ -lactam carbonyl moiety, accommodating the substrate in an optimal position for the nucleophilic attack, but at the same time it can temporarily lose this interaction, allowing the attack on the carbonyl along with the reorganization of the metal center, finally facilitating release of the inactivated  $\beta$ -lactam from the active site.

(3) Binding of Other  $\beta$ -Lactams. IMI and BPC are accommodated at the active pocket in a productive complex.  $d_{RC}$  is in fact relatively short (3.2(2) Å for IMI binding and 3.5(2) Å for BPC). This may be consistent with the fact that both are efficiently hydrolyzed by CcrA (Figures 1 and 4 and SI). The key interactions of the bicyclic core at the CcrA catalytic cleft are preserved: (i) the H-bond between the carbonyl group and N $\epsilon$ (Asn233), although allowing fluctuations on its persistency



**Figure 4.** Superimposition of selected MD snapshots of dizinc CcrA in complex with cefotaxime (CEF, orange carbon skeleton), benzylpenicillin (BPC, green skeleton), and imipenem (IMI, cyan skeleton). The CcrA fold is pictured in the background. The inset shows the  $O-O_{wat}$  radial distribution function (solid lines) and the coordination number (dashed lines) for the OH<sup>-</sup> nucleophile upon binding of the different substrates.

(80-90% with 3.5 Å H-bond cutoff), (ii) the C3-C4 carboxylate forms a hydrogen bond with N(Asn233), and (iii) a watermediated salt bridge with Lys224 (see SI). (iv) A water molecule (WAT) is buried at the cleavage site, in an equivalent position to that in the CcrA-CEF adduct (and BcII complexes previously reported),<sup>48</sup> providing an identical degree of solvation for the metal center and bridging Zn2 with C3-C4 carboxylate. This WAT molecule plays an important role in binding and catalysis, at least for B1 M $\beta$ L, which shares the same metal architecture, that is, WAT can be displayed as the fifth apical ligand of the Zn2 metal, readily activated upon nucleophilic attack as proton shuttle for N5-C7(C8) bond breaking (Figure 4). Thus, despite the slightly different relative orientations of the  $\beta$ -lactam ring and the carboxylate moiety in the three adducts, these interactions are preserved, confirming that these common elements are flexible enough to accommodate the different substrates and elicit a broad spectrum activity in M $\beta$ L. The main differences of binding among the three substrates consist indeed in the nonspecific interactions of C2-C3 substituents with loop Ile61-Val67 that may be responsible for the slightly different catalytic activity (see SI).

Moreover, the conservation of these key interactions suggests that minimal, common determinants play an important role for substrate binding<sup>7</sup> (Figure 4 and SI). Thus, a similar water-assisted hydrolysis mechanism might be generally plausible for penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems (still with the possibility of a two-step pathway, as in the case of nitrocefin). They might also provide a rationale on why monobactams such as aztreonam, lacking the bicyclic core and C3–C4 carboxylate, are not efficiently hydrolyzed by  $M\beta L$ .<sup>68</sup>

Other models of substrate—enzyme complexes for binuclear B1 M $\beta$ L have been reported in the literature.<sup>54,69</sup> In particular, in an MD-based model of the complex of CcrA with IMI, the authors assumed a protonated Asp120 bound to Zn2 in the active site. This assumption, while not altering the protein conforma-

<sup>(68)</sup> Felici, A.; Amicosante, G.; Oratore, A.; Strom, R.; Ledent, P.; Joris, B.; Fanuel, L.; Frere, J. M. *Biochem. J.* **1993**, *291*, 151–155.
(69) Suarez, D.; Brothers, E. N.; Merz, K. M., Jr. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 6615–

<sup>6630.</sup> 



*Figure 5.* Superimposition of selected MD snapshots of monozinc BcII (cyan skeleton and cartoons) and dizinc CcrA (orange) in complex with CEF. The inset shows the  $O-O_{wat}$  radial distribution function (solid lines) and the coordination number (dashed lines) for the nucleophile in both conformations.

tion, leads to different H-bonding and solvation patterns at the active site, producing a complex in which the reaction coordinate is substantially longer than in our model (5.5(3) Å). This fact most probably stems from the different protonation state of Asp120, which induces a flip in the Zn1-bound OH<sup>-</sup> group and a seemingly nonproductive enzyme–substrate complex. The breaking of the Zn2–OH<sup>-</sup> bridge, which has been modeled to provide a more productive Michaelis complex in that model ( $d_{RC} = 4.3(4)$  Å), is instead spontaneously produced in our work upon the nucleophilic attack driving the completion of the reaction.

Similarities between Monozinc and Dizinc B1 MßL. (1) Substrate Binding. This and previous work<sup>47</sup> suggest that, in the B1 M $\beta$ L subclass, CcrA and BcII accommodate a variety of  $\beta$ -lactams, such as benzylpenicillin, impenem, and cefotaxime. Comparing the MD structures of CcrA and BcII proteins in complex with these ligands provides insights on the common determinants of substrate binding for mono and binuclear metal sites. Few interactions are conserved (Figure 3 and SI): (i) The volatile H-bond between the C7-C8 carbonyl and Asn233 side chain is stronger and more persistent in CcrA possibly because of the presence of Ser235 (not present in BcII), which largely stabilizes the fluctuations of the Asn233 side chain at the metal site, (ii) the H-bond between the C3-C4 carboxylate and the Asn233 backbone (the fact that such a key interaction is formed with the backbone and not with the side chain is consistent with the catalytic activity of BcII and CcrA Asn233 mutants),<sup>15</sup> (iii) the water-mediated salt bridge between the C3-C4 carboxylate and Lys224, (iv) the water-mediated electrostatic interactions between the C3–C4 carboxylate and the metal ion(s). The water molecule (WAT) is highly persistent and, surprisingly, is spatially conserved in all systems (Figure 5), although WAT acts as a weak ligand of Zn2 in CcrA, whereas in BcII it reorients for H-bonding to the attacking OH<sup>-</sup>. It is actually striking that these common minimal features are sufficient to accommodate different  $\beta$ -lactams, producing productive conformation for the enzymatic reaction in *all* complexes. Indeed,  $d_{\text{RC}}$  ranges from 3.2 to 3.5 Å. In all complexes, moreover, the  $\beta$ -lactam ring docks parallel to the Zn1–OH<sup>--</sup>(Zn2) plane, with O(C7–C8) on top of Zn1 (but not directly bonded as reported by other models<sup>49,54,67</sup>), C7–C8 on top of O(OH<sup>-</sup>), and N5 on top of Zn2 when present, all with similar and short distances ranging from 3.6 to 4.5 Å (rmsd = 0.4 Å for bicyclic core, Figures 4 and 5 and tables in SI). This configuration is particularly favorable for the reaction, as the HOMO of the nucleophilic agent (the OH<sup>-</sup> group) and the LUMO localized on the  $\beta$ -lactam ring are perfectly aligned for nucleophilic attack.<sup>45,46</sup>

(2) Catalytic Hydrolysis. The architecture of the metal center is able to preserve key interactions for the reaction on passing from the monozinc to the dizinc species: (i) in CcrA, the Asp120-Cys221-His263 triad binds the second Zn2+, which helps to orient and stabilize the nucleophilic OH- and WAT moieties and (ii) in BcII the same triad along with Arg121 (residue that is only present in the monozinc species) forms a highly organized H-bond network that appears to be functionally equivalent, as it similarly orients the nucleophile and the catalytic water for the reaction (Figure 5, Scheme 1). Thus, these residues may be modularly exploited according to the zinc content to preserve the structural key features of the reaction cycle. In addition, the overall effective charge at the active site is preserved through punctual mutations: the charge of the second zinc ion in dizinc species is replaced in monozinc by the presence of a not ionized Cys221 and Arg121, directly and strongly H-bonded to Asp120.

Regardless of the metal content, our calculations suggest similar features of the mechanism for cefotaxime substrate: both the monozinc and dizinc enzymes are able (i) to promote the nucleophilic attack by a Zn1-bound hydroxide and (ii) to catalytically activate a WAT for a proton shuttle on N5 that cleaves the  $\beta$ -lactam ring. The way these two processes are modulated by M $\beta$ L strongly depends on zinc content and flexibility. In CcrA, the coordination numbers of Zn1 and Zn2 change between 4 and 5 (Figures 1 and 3). In particular at TS, Zn1 accepts a fifth ligand (OH-', deprotonated WAT) upon OH<sup>-</sup> nucleophilic attack, distorting the tetrahedral polyhedron to a bipyramidal sphere, and vice versa Zn2 simultaneously switches from five to four ligands, from bipyramidal to tetrahedral once it loses the OH<sup>-</sup>, and promoting the activation of a water molecule (WAT) to protonate the bridging N5 atom. Then, after product release, Zn1 reacquires the tetrahedral coordination, whereas Zn2 (still bound to four ligands) can eventually switch back to the initial coordination once water molecules have completely diffused at the free active pocket (Figure 3, Scheme 1). In BcII, Zn1 exactly undergoes the same rearrangement during the first step of the reaction ( $R \rightarrow TS \rightarrow$ INT), grabbing a water as fifth ligand in a metastable state.<sup>48</sup> Experimentally, characterizing a change of coordination number at the TS is difficult because Zn<sup>2+</sup> is silent to most spectroscopic methods; instead, computational methods may provide, as in this case, direct computational evidence of the metal polyhedron reorganization.48

Thus, in monozinc BcII, the only  $Zn^{2+}$  ion forcedly aids the two steps, so that WAT first replaces the nucleophile during the first step entering the Zn1 coordination shell and then is activated in the second step as proton donor for  $\beta$ -lactam N5

(the latter being the rate-limiting step of the reaction). In CcrA, the presence of Zn2 merges these two movements in a concerted unique step: Zn2 already activates WAT as soon as the OH<sup>--</sup>Zn1 bond is lost upon nucleophilic attack. This might contribute, at least in part, to its observed larger catalytic efficiency ( $\Delta F = 18$  versus 21 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for BcII).

Because the zinc ligands and most active site residues are highly conserved among the B1 M $\beta$ L subclass, binding and mechanism found for CcrA and BcII may be likely for the entire B1 subclass, where  $\beta$ -lactams might follow similar catalytic pathways as all the groups involved in the catalysis do not depend on the substrate chemical diversity. Of course, slightly different activation free energies are expected because of the substrate binding modes generated by different  $\beta$ -lactam substituents.

(3) Implications for B2 and B3 M $\beta$ Ls. At a rather speculative level, other M $\beta$ L subclasses might share similar features. Members of the B2 M $\beta$ L subclass, such as CphA, are known to be active only in the mononuclear form, with Zn<sup>2+</sup> in the Zn2 site.<sup>19,27</sup> Recent studies<sup>70</sup> suggest that the B3  $\beta$ -lactamase GOB could also be active as a mono-Zn2 enzyme. Even if these cases may hold a different mechanism, these data, altogether with the present work, suggest a central role of Zn2 in M $\beta$ L-mediated catalysis and call for more detailed studies in this direction. In B3 M $\beta$ L, where a His group usually substitutes Cys221, preliminary MD modeling of FEZ1 binuclear<sup>37</sup> adducts instead indicates a similar binding mode and the presence of water molecule equivalent to the catalytic water in CcrA and BcII, suggesting a water-assisted pathway may be also shared with binuclear B3 enzymes.

## Conclusion

The evolutionary insertion of the second equivalent zinc passing from BcII to CcrA species in B1 M $\beta$ L subclass basically preserves the binding ability of the enzyme but relevantly affects the mechanism of reaction as emerged from consistent quantum molecular modeling of both enzymes. The role of Zn<sup>2+</sup> in the second site is crucial for better stabilizing the negative charge developed at the  $\beta$ -lactam N5 atom upon nucleophilic attack and its detachment from the second zinc. This leads in fact to

a simultaneous protonation of N5 by the Zn2–N5 bridging water and the consequent breaking of  $\beta$ -lactam ring, clearly favoring a functional advantage in binuclear B1 M $\beta$ L over the mononuclear species. Nevertheless, the flexible and modular conformation of the second zinc site is able to mimic the electrostatic environment of the second ion also in mononuclear BcII, preserving the binding and catalytic properties (i.e., catalytic water position).

The catalytic water is the common, principal feature in B1  $M\beta L$  catalysis. The C3–C4  $\beta$ -lactam carboxylate itself paradoxically stabilizes the catalytic water at the active site upon binding, so that the water/ $\beta$ -lactam entity should be considered as (i) the active substrate in the Michaelis complex and (ii) the favorite template for the design of new inhibitors. The flexibility of the zinc site is of paramount importance in the mechanism: regardless of the zinc content, the hydrolysis is promoted only by conformational changes at the coordination metal sphere. In the binuclear site then it is particularly elegant the concerted way the zinc ions rearrange their ligands to activate the reactive moieties (the nucleophilic hydroxide and the catalytic water) for a single-step  $\beta$ -lactam hydrolysis.

All these ingredients turned out to be important and need to be considered for the design of new kinds of inhibitors. As seen, the broad spectrum activity of M $\beta$ Ls (B1 and B3 subclasses) is mainly developed by a few key interactions. Hence, transition state analogues should be based on these common minimal determinants developed at the active site during the reaction. Importantly, new drugs should also favor and promote a rearrangement of the metal sphere as seen during the transition state, inducing a similar shifting of zinc coordination number upon binding.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge MURST and NIH for financial support and INFM and PSC for CPU time. A.J.V. thanks ANPCyT and HHMI for financial support. A.J.V. is a staff member from CONICET and an HHMI International Research Scholar.

**Supporting Information Available:** Analysis of MD trajectories of CcrA adducts with cefotaxime, benzypenicillin, and imipenem. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA0657556

<sup>(70)</sup> Morán Barrio, J.; González, J. M.; Lisa, M. N.; Costello, A. L.; Dal Peraro, M.; Carloni, P.; Bennett, B.; Tierney, D. L.; Limansky, A. S.; Viale, A. M.; Vila, A. J. Submitted for publication, 2007.